



SPW

PTO/SB/21 (04-07)

Approved for use through 09/30/2007. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	10/656,590	
	Filing Date	September 8, 2003	
	First Named Inventor	Jay Stadel	
	Art Unit	3754	
	Examiner Name	James Hook	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	2	Attorney Docket Number	

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Remarks	

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT		
Firm Name		
Signature	Jay Stadel	
Printed name	Jay Stadel	
Date	August 3, 2007	Reg. No.

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:		
Signature	Jay Stadel	
Typed or printed name	Jay Stadel	Date August 3, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



Application No : 10/656,590
Filed : September 8, 2003
Applicant : Jay Stadel
Examiner : James Hook
Art Unit : 3754

Confirmation No. 7935

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Please find attached a response to the Office communication dated 07/18/2007 regarding the patent application listed above.



Substance of Interview on May 1, 2007

The following is a summary of the interview between the Examiner and the applicant regarding the invention referred to as the "grease zerk dust cap".

The Examiner and I discussed claim 10 of the application. The Examiner explained that the design and/or shape of the inner cavity of the cap was changed relative to the original application, and the claim was subsequently rejected due to the fact that subject matter cannot be changed without submitting a new application. The Examiner stated that I was required to amend the application to reflect the original design. We also discussed the need to amend the claims with sufficient detail to illustrate the uniqueness of the invention relative to other inventions patented in the past, which may have a similar intended purpose as the invention disclosed in this application.

With respect,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Jay Stadel".

Jay Stadel

Applicant